

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
GREENVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

PLAINTIFF

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:23cv80-DMB-DAS  
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ALFRED HARDIMAN

DEFENDANT

**COMPLAINT**

This is an action by the United States of America against Alfred Hardiman to recover treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act (“FCA”), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-33, civil penalties under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act (“FIRREA”), partially codified at 12 U.S.C. § 1833a, and to recover money for common law or equitable causes of action for payment by mistake and unjust enrichment based upon Hardiman’s receipt of Paycheck Protection Program (“PPP”) funds to which she was not entitled.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This action arises under the FCA, FIRREA, and the common law.
2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1345 because the United States is the plaintiff. The Court also has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1367(a).
3. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant under 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because Defendant can be found, resides, or transacts business in this District, or has committed the alleged acts in this District.
4. Venue is proper in this district under 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as Defendant can be found in this District and the subject transactions took place in the Northern District of Mississippi – specifically the Greenville Division.

**PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff, United States of America, guarantees and funds certain loans through the Small Business Administration (“SBA”).

6. Defendant, Alfred Hardiman, is a resident of Grenada County, Mississippi.

**The Paycheck Protection Program**

7. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (“CARES”) Act was a federal law enacted in or around March 2020 and designed to provide emergency financial assistance to the millions of Americans suffering the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. One source of relief provided by the CARES Act was the authorization of forgivable loans to small businesses for job retention and certain other expenses, through a program referred to as the Paycheck Protection Program (“PPP”). The program was modified and extended thereafter.

8. To obtain a PPP loan, a qualifying business submitted a PPP loan application, which was signed by an authorized representative of the business. The PPP loan application required the business (through its authorized representative) to acknowledge the program rules and make certain affirmative certifications to be eligible to obtain the PPP loan. In the PPP loan application (SBA Form 2483), the small business (through its authorized representative) was required to provide, among other things, its: (a) average monthly payroll expenses; and (b) number of employees. These figures were used to calculate the amount of money the small business was eligible to receive under the PPP. In addition, businesses applying for a PPP loan were required to provide documentation confirming their payroll expenses.

9. A PPP loan application was processed by a participating lender. If a PPP loan application was approved, the participating lender funded the PPP loan using its own monies. While it was the participating lender that issued the PPP loan, the loan was 100%

guaranteed by the SBA. Data from the application, including information about the borrower, the total amount of the loan, and the listed number of employees, was transmitted by the lender to the SBA in the course of processing the loan and subsequent forgiveness process.

10. PPP loan proceeds were required to be used by the business on certain permissible expenses—payroll costs, interest on mortgages, rent, and utilities. The PPP allowed the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the business spent the loan proceeds on these expense items within a designated period of time and used a defined portion of the PPP loan proceeds on payroll expenses.

11. The application process to obtain a PPP loan required the eligible recipient to make the following good faith certifications and acknowledgments:

- a. That the applicant was eligible to receive a PPP loan under the rules in effect at the time the application was submitted (the “PPP Rules”);
- b. That the uncertainty of current economic conditions made the loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the eligible recipient;
- c. That the applicant was in operation on February 15, 2020, had not permanently closed, and was either an eligible self-employed individual, independent contractor, or sole proprietorship with no employees, or had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors, as reported on Form(s) 1099-MISC;
- d. That the funds would be used to retain workers and maintain payroll; or make payments for mortgage interest, rent, utilities, or other covered costs under the PPP Rules;
- e. That if the funds were knowingly used for unauthorized purposes, the

federal government may find the individual legally liable for such charges as fraud;

- f. That not more than 40 percent of the loan proceeds may be used for non-payroll costs;
- g. That documentation verifying the number of full-time equivalent employees on payroll as well as the dollar amounts of payroll costs, covered mortgage interest payments, covered rent payments, and covered utilities would be provided to the lender if required;
- h. That the eligible recipient had not received and would not receive another loan under the Paycheck Protection Program;
- i. That the information provided in the application and the information provided in all supporting documents and forms was true and accurate in all material respects;
- j. That the applicant understood that knowingly making a false statement to obtain a guaranteed loan from SBA is punishable under the law, including under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 3571 by imprisonment of not more than five years and/or a fine of up to \$250,000.00; under 15 U.S.C. § 645 by imprisonment of not more than two years and/or a fine of not more than \$5,000.00; and, if submitted to a federally insured institution, under 18 U.S.C. § 1014 by imprisonment of not more than thirty years and/or a fine of not more than \$1,000,000.00; and
- k. That the lender would confirm the eligible loan amount using the documents submitted by the applicant.

**The False Claims Act**

12. The FCA provides, in pertinent part, that any person who:

(a)(1)(A) knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval; [or]

(a)(1)(B) knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim;

is liable to the United States for three times the amount of damages which the United States sustains, plus a civil penalty per violation. 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1).

13. FCA penalties are regularly adjusted for inflation, pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2461 note. For violations occurring after November 2, 2015, the civil penalty amounts currently range from a minimum of \$12,537 to a maximum of \$25,076. 28 C.F.R. § 85.5.

14. For purposes of the FCA, the terms “knowing” and “knowingly”

(A) mean that a person, with respect to information—

(i) has actual knowledge of the information;

(ii) acts in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the information; or

(iii) acts in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information; and

(B) require no proof of specific intent to defraud.

31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(1).

15. Under the FCA, the term “claim” includes requests to the United States for payment, whether made directly or indirectly to the United States. *Id.* § 3729(b)(2)(A).

16. The FCA defines “material” to mean “having a natural tendency to influence, or be capable of influencing, the payment or receipt of money or property. *Id.* § 3729(b)(4).

**FIRREA**

17. Section 951 of FIRREA, as amended, codified at 12 U.S.C. § 1833a, provides

that the Attorney General may recover civil penalties of up to \$1 million per violation against persons who commit specified violations as established by a preponderance of the evidence. 28 C.F.R. § 85.5 (the maximum civil penalty was increased to \$2,073,133 for assessments after December 13, 2021 for which violations occurred after November 2, 2015). The statute further provides that the Attorney General alternatively may recover the amount of any gain to the person committing the violation, or the amount of the loss to a person other than the violator stemming from such conduct, up to the amount of the gain or the loss. *Id.* The predicate violations identified in Section 951 that can form the basis for liability under FIRREA include, among others: (a) knowingly or willfully making a materially false or fraudulent statement affecting a federally insured financial institution (18 U.S.C. § 1001); (b) making a false statement on a loan application to a financial institution, “the accounts of which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation” (18 U.S.C. § 1014); and (c) knowingly making a false statement for the purpose of influencing a decision by the Administrator of the SBA or to obtain a loan, money, or anything of value under the SBA’s 7(a) program, including the PPP (15 U.S.C. § 645(a)).

18. Section 1109(i) of the CARES Act provides that PPP loans are SBA loans for purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 645.

### **Allegations**

19. It is a violation of the FCA to knowingly obtain an SBA-guaranteed PPP loan which is not supplied in compliance with the PPP Rules, and to subsequently obtain forgiveness of such loan. Defendant, Alfred Hardiman, was individually (and with the assistance of other unnamed individual(s)) involved in obtaining a PPP loan in violation of the FCA.

20. On or about May 20, 2021, Defendant Alfred Hardiman received a PPP loan in the amount of \$20,833.00 based upon misrepresentations in a loan application submitted to an unnamed financial institution (Bank 1). In the PPP loan application, Hardiman misrepresented his annual income and payroll costs, which were used in calculating the maximum loan amount for which Defendant was eligible. This misrepresentation caused Defendant to receive loan proceeds well in excess of what he would be entitled, if any at all.

21. Defendant knowingly used the PPP loan funds for unauthorized purposes.

22. The SBA paid \$2,500 in processing fees to Bank 1 in connection with the PPP loan disbursed to Hardiman.

23. The specific allegations concerning the misrepresentations made in obtaining the PPP loan are as follows:

- a. At the time application was made for the loan, Alfred Hardiman did operate an automotive body, paint, and interior repair business in Grenada, MS.
- b. Hardiman did not earn the requisite income during the covered period that would yield the amount of the loan received. In order to receive a loan of \$20,833.00, the maximum loan amount guidelines required Hardiman to have total eligible payroll costs of at least \$100,000.00 for the twelve months preceding the loan. Hardiman had no such eligible payroll costs.
- c. Based on information and belief, Hardiman electronically signed the PPP application and submitted it, or caused it to be submitted, to Bank 1.
- d. Based on information and belief, the certifications Hardiman submitted to obtain the loan were false.

24. False statements and documents were submitted to Bank 1 which misrepresented the amount of eligible payroll for Hardiman's use of the loan proceeds. These false statements in the loan application were material to the payment of money by Bank 1.

The United States realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above of this Complaint as fully set forth herein in all Counts listed below:

**COUNT I**  
**False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A)**  
**Presenting or Causing False Claims to Be Presented for Payment**

25. Hardiman knowingly requested and obtained a PPP loan for an amount he was not entitled to, in violation of the PPP Rules.

26. By virtue of these false claims, the United States was damaged for the full amount of the PPP loan (\$20,833.00) and the processing fee (\$2,500.00) paid to Bank 1, plus any other costs or interest, and is entitled to treble damages under the FCA, plus civil penalties for each violation.

**COUNT II**  
**False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B)**  
**Making or Using False Records or Statements**

27. Hardiman knowingly submitted a PPP loan application that contained misrepresentations regarding his payroll costs and intended use of PPP funds.

28. By virtue of these false statements, the United States was damaged for the full amount of the loan (\$20,833.00) and the processing fee (\$2,500.00) paid to Bank 1, plus any other costs or interest, and is entitled to treble damages under the FCA, plus civil penalties for each violation.

**COUNT III**  
**False Statements to the SBA**  
**12 U.S.C. § 1833a (FIRREA)**

29. This is a claim for civil penalties under FIRREA, 12 U.S.C. § 1833a, as amended.

30. Defendant Hardiman, for the purposes of fraudulently obtaining a federally guaranteed PPP loan, in violation of 12 U.S.C. § 1833a, unlawfully, willfully and knowingly made false statements or certifications to SBA Lenders and the SBA in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 645(a).

31. Hardiman signed the PPP loan agreement, thereby knowingly falsely representing that he would “comply with all SBA guidance” as it applied to the PPP loan. These false statements were knowingly false and made for the purpose of influencing the SBA to obtain PPP loan proceeds in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 645(a).

32. Because of Hardiman’s acts, he is liable for civil penalties up to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a(b).

**COUNT IV**  
**Unjust Enrichment**

33. This is a claim for the recovery of monies by which Defendant has been unjustly enriched.

34. By obtaining from the United States, through Bank 1, funds to which he was not entitled, Defendant was unjustly enriched and the United States is entitled to damages in an amount of \$20,833.00 plus loan processing fees in the amount of \$2,500.00, plus interest, together with any other damages to be determined at trial.

**COUNT V**  
**Payment by Mistake**

35. This is a claim for the recovery of monies the United States paid directly or indirectly to Defendant as a result of mistaken understandings of fact.

36. The United States’ mistaken understandings of fact were material to the

approval of the PPP loan provided by Defendant.

37. The United States, acting in reasonable reliance on the truthfulness of the statements contained in the PPP loan application, approved a PPP loan to Defendant to which she was not entitled.

38. Thus, the United States is entitled to recoup the amount of the PPP loan plus any other amounts to be determined at trial including, but not limited to, the loan application processing fee of \$2,500.00 and a \$402.00 filing fee.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF AND JURY DEMAND**

The United States requests that the Court enter judgment against Alfred Hardiman and grant the following relief:

- (a) On Counts I and II (False Claims Act), awarding the United States treble the damages it sustained for (1) the \$20,833.00 loan plus one percent interest applied from the date of the loan and (2) the processing fee paid by the United States to Bank 1 in the amount of \$2,500.00, together with the maximum civil penalties allowed by law;
- (b) On Count III (FIRREA), awarding the United States civil penalties pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 1833a equal to, at minimum, the full amount of the PPP loans - \$20,833.00 and the processing fee paid by the United States to Bank 1-\$2,500.00.
- (c) On Count IV (Unjust Enrichment), awarding the United States the amount by which Defendant was unjustly enriched;
- (d) On Count V (Payment by Mistake), awarding the United States the amount mistakenly paid to Defendant;
- (e) awarding the United States pre- and post-judgment interest, costs, and a \$402.00 filing fee; and

(f) granting such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

The United States further demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. Rule Civ. P. 38.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of May, 2023.

Clay Joyner  
United States Attorney

By: Samuel D. Wright (MSB #101425)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
900 Jefferson Avenue  
Oxford, Mississippi 38655-3608  
t: 662.234.3351  
e: [samuel.wright@usdoj.gov](mailto:samuel.wright@usdoj.gov)